

State of New Hampshire - DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY

2005 Homeland Security

First Responder MCI Trailer Application

Competitive Funds Available: \$208,526.52

Total Budget Request:

\$52,130

per limitation of grant funds
to include one-time 2.5%
M&A cost if requested



SAA use only:

Grant Authorized Amount:

\$ _____

DATE OF APPLICATION:

Due January 4, 2006 by noon

PROJECT APPLICANT

NAME OF CITY/TOWN/AGENCY APPLYING: _____

ADDRESS: _____

TELEPHONE: _____ FAX: _____ EMAIL: _____

AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL (PER RSA 31:95B ***SEE PAGE 10)

NAME: _____ SIGNATURE: _____

Certification: I certify that I am duly authorized under the statutes of the State of NH to apply for, authorize, or accept the Homeland Security funds/equipment herein. Please note: Regional collaborators should also submit an MOU signed by all participants with this application.

PROGRAM MANAGER/CONTACT (PRIMARY POINT OF CONTACT)

NAME: _____ TITLE: _____

ADDRESS: _____

TELEPHONE: _____ FAX: _____

EMAIL: _____

FINANCE OFFICER

NAME: _____ TITLE: _____

ADDRESS: _____

TELEPHONE: _____ FAX: _____

EMAIL: _____

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF NH STATE STRATEGY FOR HOMELAND SECURITY

1. **Encourage local and state entities to update their all-hazard Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) and Terrorism Annex to address vulnerabilities identified by the entities' hazard analysis.**

Note: (There is no law in New Hampshire that states local communities must have an Emergency Management Plan; RSA 21:P 34-48 states that local communities must have an Emergency Management Director)

2. **Ensure local and state resources are available to recover from a WMD/Incident.**
3. **Increase jurisdiction participation with multi-level intelligence components/agencies to deter/prevent WMD/Terrorism incident to include adjoining states.**
4. **Jurisdictions will procure standardized, interoperable communications equipment for multi-discipline, multi-jurisdictional response to a WMD incident.**
5. **Local and state entities procure the proper equipment necessary for multi-discipline response to a WMD incident.**
6. **Local and state entities conduct/participate in WMD/CBRNE scenario-based exercises to establish a level of readiness for all disciplines.**
7. **Provide appropriate levels of WMD training to all first responders for a multi-discipline trained force to respond to WMD incidents.**

DEGREE OF READINESS	
1	2
3	4
5	6
7	8
9	10
11	12
13	14
15	16
17	18
19	20
21	22
23	24
25	26
27	28
29	30
31	32
33	34
35	36
37	38
39	40
41	42
43	44
45	46
47	48
49	50
51	52
53	54
55	56
57	58
59	60
61	62
63	64
65	66
67	68
69	70
71	72
73	74
75	76
77	78
79	80
81	82
83	84
85	86
87	88
89	90
91	92
93	94
95	96
97	98
99	100

-
-
-
-

-
-
-

-
- This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper has a slight shadow on the right side, suggesting it's resting on a surface. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

GRANT NARRATIVE:	
-------------------------	--

[illegible]

- **All special teams and Fire EMS/LE command staff must submit written verification of NIMS/ICS training completion and certification at the time of application.**
- **All other applicants must describe plans to achieve NIMS/ICS certification and how this compliance will be achieved by December 31, 2007.**
- **Please use separate sheets and attach this information to the grant application.**

Pam Urban-Morin, Grant Administrator
NH Department of Safety-Commissioner's Office
33 Hazen Drive
Concord, NH 03305
603-271-7663
homelandgrants@safety.state.nh.us

2005 Homeland Security Grant Program
Federal Fiscal Year 2005 Domestic Preparedness Equipment Program
Non-Supplanting Certification

Non-Supplanting Certification: This certification, which is a required component of the New Hampshire application, affirms that federal Homeland Security grant funds will be used to supplement (add to) existing funds, and will not supplant (replace) funds that have been locally appropriated for the same purpose. Potential supplanting will be addressed in the application review as well as in the pre-award review, post award monitoring, and the audit. Applicants and/or grantees will be/may be required to supply documentation certifying that a reduction in non-federal resources occurred for reasons OTHER than the receipt or expected receipt of federal Homeland Security grant funds.

Supplanting funds is loosely defined (for these purposes) as using federal grant money to "replace" or "take the place of" existing local funding for equipment or programs. The funds are intended to provide local entities with **increased capabilities** or to build capacity to address CBRNE/WMD terrorist incidents. Federal Homeland Security grant funds **cannot** be used to replace aged, local equipment; instead they are intended to help increase capabilities. The funds are not to be used to replace items that are worn out/broken or for replacing (supplanting) routine local budget expenses.

As a condition of the receipt of these funds:

Funding may be suspended or terminated for filing a false certification in this application or other reports or document as part of this program.

Tracking of Equipment:

Upkeep, maintenance, and training of and for equipment procured as part of the Homeland Security grant program is a local and/or grantee responsibility. The inventory of this equipment is a local responsibility and the recipient of such understands that inspections, auditing, and inventory accounting of this equipment may occur as a condition of this grant either from Federal, State or other appropriate level agency and agent

Equipment valued over \$5,000:

To comply with OMB circular A-87, equipment valued at this level must inventoried and tracked locally and be reported to the State Department of Safety (DOS) – Grants Management Unit for 2 years or until the item carries a depreciated value of less than \$100. The disposition of the equipment must be reported. DOS recommends consulting with local auditors for GASB-34 compliance and disposition rules governing equipment procured with Federal funds.

Certification Statement:

I certify that any funds awarded under the fiscal year 2005 Homeland Security Domestic Preparedness Equipment Program will be used to supplement existing funds for program activities, and will not replace (supplant) non-Federal Funds.

By signing this document I understand that this initial MCI trailer acquisition and stocking of same per specifications does not bind the State of NH (and/or Department of Safety) to future maintenance of the same. Based on the project specification outlined in the cover letter attached and dated November 10, 2005, I consent to all of these conditions in the event I am granted and funded for this proposal.

I have further read and understand the requirements surrounding fraudulent statements, tracking and inventory of equipment, and rules surrounding equipment valued at over \$5,000.

Print Name (Authorizing Official)

Print Name (Program Manager/Contact)

Signature

Signature

Date

Date

*****THE AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL MUST BE STATUTORILY ALLOWED TO SIGN A CONTRACT FOR THE MUNICIPALITY (i.e. Mayor, City Manager, Town Manager, Chairperson BOS, etc.)**

Example of: Site Eligibility for Critical Infrastructure Protection Funding

The US Department of Homeland Security in Washington, D.C. issued the following guidance:

As part of the FY 2003 SHSGP II (critical infrastructure funds) and the FY 2003 UASI II (operational costs), funding is being provided to pay for costs associated with increased security measures at critical infrastructure sites during the period of heightened threat alert of **December 21, 2003 to January 9, 2004**. States and local governments should generally consider critical infrastructure to include any system or asset that if attacked would result in catastrophic loss of life and/or catastrophic economic loss. In addition, protection for the following specific types of facilities should also be considered:

- Protective security enhancements for large public gatherings/areas such as New Year's Eve celebrations, sporting events and outdoor concerts
- Public water systems serving large population centers
- Primary data storage and processing facilities, major stock exchanges and major banking centers
- Chemical facilities located in close proximity to large population centers
- Major power generation facilities that exceed 2000MW and if successfully attacked would disrupt the regional electric grid
- Hydroelectric facilities and dams that produce power in excess of 2000MW or could result in catastrophic loss of life if breached
- Nuclear Power plants
- Electric substations 500KV or larger, and substations 345KV or larger that are part of a critical system supporting populations in excess of one million people
- Rail and highway bridges over major waterways that, if destroyed, would cause catastrophic economic loss
- Major highway tunnels under waterways that if attacked would cause catastrophic loss of life or catastrophic economic impact
- Major natural gas transmission pipelines in excess of 3000 bcf throughput
- Natural Gas and liquid Natural Gas Storage (LNG) facilities
- Major petroleum handling facilities such as pipelines, ports, refineries and terminals
- Major mass transit subway systems and the supporting ventilation systems
- Telecommunications, internet, and cyber facilities

***This list is generated by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security in Washington, D.C.**